

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US <i>Patent Cooperation Treaty</i>	Date of completion of this opinion 11 January 2006 (11.01.2006)	Authorized officer Dmitri Demille
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. (571) 272-3700	<i>Patent Cooperation Treaty</i>	

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the priority date, whichever expires later, of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered, that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

International Preliminary Examination Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66, I(b).

It is a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examination Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66, I(b).

## 2. FURTHER ACTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)() with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Applicant		REABILITY INC.	
IPC(7): A61H 1/02 and US Cl. 601/5, 33			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
PCT/IL/05/00140	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	Priority date (04.02.2005) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)
414/04404	Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below		
Date of mailing (day/month/year)		03 FEB 2006	
FOR FURTHER ACTION			
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY P.O. BOX 10256 FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD 49002 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL			

PCT

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITYINTRODUCTION OF THE  
WRITTEN OPINION

To:

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of  the international application in the language in which it was filed  a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of  international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  format of material  in electronic form  on paper.

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent listing and/or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

International application No. <input type="text"/>	WITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT/IL05/00140	Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion
--	--	---------------------------------

1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, within the applicable time limit:

- Paid additional fees
- Paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
- Paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
- Not paid additional fees

2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.

- This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is not complied with for the following reasons:
- not complied with
- not complied with

3. See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:

- the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-8
- all parts.

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/IL/05/00140

International application No.

Written Opinion of the

Claims 1-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Blaidsdon. As broadly claimed, Blaidsdon teaches a frame 10, an actuator 12 that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limb in a volume of at least 30 cm. The program in which is selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in any direction that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The device includes at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.

Claims 1-8 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

## 2. Claims and explanations:

Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	Claims 1-8	Claims NONE	Industrial applicability (IA)
YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	Claims 1-8	Claims NONE	Inventive step (IS)
YES	NO	YES	NO	YES

## 1. Statement

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; claims and explanations supporting such statement

International application No. PCT/IL05/00140
WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

